

## 1.4 Elliptic operator

### 1.4.1 Parametrix

**Definition 1.4.1.** Let  $P$  be a differential operator. We say  $P$  is elliptic if for any non-zero cotangent vector  $\xi \in T^*M$ , the principal symbol  $\sigma_\xi(P) : E \rightarrow F$  is invertible.

**Definition 1.4.2.** Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m$  with symbol  $p$ . We say  $P$  is elliptic if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $|\xi| \geq c$ , the matrix inverse of  $p(x, \xi)$  exists and satisfies

$$|p(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m} \quad (1.4.1)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

**Definition 1.4.3.** Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$ . We say  $P$  is elliptic if its principal symbol  $\sigma_\xi(P) \in \text{Sym}^m(E, F)/\text{Sym}^{m-1}(E, F)$  has a representative  $p$  and for some Riemannian metric, there exists a constant  $c > 0$ , such that for all  $|\xi| \geq c$ , the matrix inverse of  $p(x, \xi)$  exists and satisfies

$$|p(\xi)^{-1}| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m} \quad (1.4.2)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ .

Remark that the symbol  $p(x, \xi)$  in conditions (1.4.1) and (1.4.2) could be replaced by the principal symbol  $\sigma_\xi(P)$ .

It is easy to see that if  $P$  is a differential operator, Definition 1.4.3 is equivalent to Definition 1.4.1.

From Theorem 1.3.13, if  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$ ,  $P^* \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(F^*, E^*)$ . Since  $\sigma_\xi(P^*) = \overline{\sigma_\xi(P)}^T$ ,  $P$  is elliptic if and only if  $P^*$  is elliptic.

**Lemma 1.4.4.** *Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m$  be a elliptic operator. Then there exists an operator  $Q \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}$ , unique up to equivalence, such that*

$$PQ = \text{Id} - S', \quad QP = \text{Id} - S, \quad (1.4.3)$$

where  $S, S' \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-\infty}$ .

*Proof.* We only need to prove that for any compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , on  $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, E)$ , (1.4.3) holds. Since the composition of a smoothing operator and a pseudodifferential operator is a smoothing operator, by Proposition 1.3.8, we may assume that  $P$  and  $Q$  have compact support.

Let  $c$  be the constant in Definition 1.4.2. Let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth cut-off function such that  $\chi(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq c$  and  $\chi(t) = 1$  for  $t \geq 2c$ . For  $x \in K$ , set

$$q_0(x, \xi) = \chi(|\xi|)p(x, \xi)^{-1}. \quad (1.4.4)$$

We claim that

$$q_0 \in \text{Sym}^{-m}. \quad (1.4.5)$$

In fact, for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ , from (1.4.1), on  $|\xi| \geq c$ , we have

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)^{-1}| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m-|\beta|}. \quad (1.4.6)$$

We write  $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$  and  $D^\gamma = D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta$ . On  $|\xi| \geq c$ , we have

$$0 = D^\gamma(p \cdot p^{-1}) = \sum_{\gamma' + \gamma'' = \gamma} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma'! \gamma''!} D^{\gamma'} p \cdot D^{\gamma''}(p^{-1}). \quad (1.4.7)$$

Thus we have

$$D^\gamma p^{-1} = -p^{-1} \cdot \sum_{\gamma' + \gamma'' = \gamma, \gamma' \neq 0} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma'! \gamma''!} D^{\gamma'} p \cdot D^{\gamma''}(p^{-1}). \quad (1.4.8)$$

Now we take induction on  $|\gamma|$ . If  $|\gamma| = 0$ , (1.4.6) holds. We assume that (1.4.6) holds for  $|\gamma| \leq k-1$ . Then by (1.4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\gamma(p^{-1})| &\leq C \sum_{|\beta'| + |\beta''| = |\beta|} (1 + |\xi|)^{-m} (1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta'|} (1 + |\xi|)^{-m-|\beta''|} \\ &\leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-m-|\beta|}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.9)$$

Therefore, on  $|\xi| \geq c$ , (1.4.6) holds for any  $\alpha, \beta$ . Thus the claim (1.4.5) follows from

$$|D^\gamma q(x, \xi)| \leq \sum_{\gamma' + \gamma'' = \gamma} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma'! \gamma''!} |D^{\gamma'} \chi| |D^{\gamma''}(p^{-1})| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{m-\beta}. \quad (1.4.10)$$

By induction, we set

$$q_k = - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=k} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_j)(D_x^\alpha p) \right\} \cdot q_0. \quad (1.4.11)$$

From (1.4.5), we have

$$q_k \in \text{Sym}^{m-k}. \quad (1.4.12)$$

Let  $Q_k \in \Psi\text{DO}_{m-k}$  be the pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $p_k$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sym}(Q_0P) &\sim 1 + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_0)(D_x^\alpha p) + \sum_{|\alpha|=2} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_0)(D_x^\alpha p) + \cdots \\ \text{Sym}(Q_1P) &\sim - \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_0)(D_x^\alpha p) q_0 p + \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_1)(D_x^\alpha p) + \cdots \\ \text{Sym}(Q_2P) &\sim - \sum_{j=0}^1 \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha|+j=2} \frac{i^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} (D_\xi^\alpha q_j)(D_x^\alpha p) \right\} \cdot q_0 p_0 + \cdots \\ &\dots \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.13)$$

From (1.4.13), letting

$$q \sim \sum q_k, \quad (1.4.14)$$

and  $Q \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}$  be the pseudodifferential operator with symbol  $q$ , we have  $\text{Sym}(QP) = 1$ . Thus  $QP - \text{Id}$  is a smoothing operator. Remark that all constants in this proof are independent of the compact subset.

From the same argument, we could construct  $Q' \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}$  such that  $PQ' - \text{Id}$  is a smoothing operator. Thus

$$Q \sim Q(PQ') \sim (QP)Q' \sim Q'. \quad (1.4.15)$$

The proof of Lemma 1.4.4 is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.5.** *Assume that  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$  is elliptic. Then there exists  $Q \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}(F, E)$ , up to equivalence, such that*

$$PQ = \text{Id} - S', \quad QP = \text{Id} - S, \quad (1.4.16)$$

where  $S, S'$  are smoothing operators. The operator  $Q$  is called a **parametrix** of  $P$ .

*Proof.* We take a coordinate system  $\{U_i, \phi_i\}$  such that  $E, F$  are trivial on  $U_i$ . Let  $\{\psi_i\}$  be a partition of unity with respect to  $\{U_i\}$ . By Definition 1.4.3, for any compact subset  $K$  of  $U_i$ ,  $P : \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(K, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(K, F)$  is elliptic. By

Lemma 1.4.4, there exists  $Q_i \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m} : \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U_i, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_i, F)$ , such that  $PQ_i = \text{Id} - S_i$ , where  $S_i : \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U_i, E) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(U_i, F)$  is a smoothing operator. As in the proof of Lemma 1.4.4, we may assume that  $Q_i\psi_i$  has compact support  $K_i$  such that  $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subset K_i \subset U_i$ . Let  $\varphi, \varphi_i \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(U_i)$  such that  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $K_i$  and  $\varphi_i \equiv 1$  on  $\text{supp}(\varphi)$ . Then

$$\varphi_i P \varphi Q_i \psi_i = \varphi_i P Q_i \psi_i = \psi_i - \varphi_i S_i \psi_i. \quad (1.4.17)$$

Note that  $\varphi_i S_i \psi_i \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-\infty}(E, F)$ . By Proposition 1.3.18 (2),  $(1 - \varphi_i) P Q_i \psi_i = (1 - \varphi_i) P \varphi Q_i \psi_i \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-\infty}(E, F)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &:= \sum Q_i \psi_i \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}(E, F), \\ S' &:= \sum \varphi_i S_i \psi_i + \sum (1 - \varphi_i) P Q_i \psi_i \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-\infty}(E, F). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.18)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P Q' &= P \left( \sum Q_i \psi_i \right) = \sum P Q_i \psi_i = \sum \varphi_i P Q_i \psi_i + \sum (1 - \varphi_i) P Q_i \psi_i \\ &= \sum \psi_i - \sum \varphi_i S_i \psi_i + \sum (1 - \varphi_i) P Q_i \psi_i = \text{Id} - S'. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.19)$$

From (1.4.19), For  $P^* \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(F^*, E^*)$ , there exists  $Q^* \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}(E^*, F^*)$  such that  $P^* Q^* = \text{Id} - S^*$ , where  $S \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-\infty}(E^*, E^*)$ . Taking the adjoint, we have  $Q P = \text{Id} - S$ . As in (1.4.15), we have  $Q \sim Q'$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.4.5 is completed.  $\square$

Let  $L_{loc}^2(M, E)$  be the space of locally  $L^2$ -integrable sections of  $E$  on  $X$  ( $L^2$ -integrable on any bounded subset of  $X$ ).

**Theorem 1.4.6** (Elliptic regularity). *Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$  be a elliptic operator.*

(1) *Let  $u \in L_{loc}^2(M, E)$  with compact support  $K$ . If  $Pu \in \mathbf{H}_0^s(K, F)$ , then  $u \in \mathbf{H}_0^{s+m}(K, E)$ .*

(2) *For any open subset  $U \subset M$ , if  $Pu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, F)$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, E)$ .*

(3) *If  $Pu = \lambda u$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $m > 0$ , then  $u$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* (1) From Theorem 1.4.5, there exists  $Q \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}(F, E)$ , such that  $\text{Id} = QP + S$ , where  $S$  is a smoothing operator. So

$$\|u\|_{s+m} \leq \|QPu\|_{s+m} + \|Su\|_{s+m} \leq C\|Pu\|_s + C\|u\|_0 \leq \infty. \quad (1.4.20)$$

Thus  $u \in \mathbf{H}_0^{s+m}(K, E)$ .

(2) For  $U \subset M$ , if  $Pu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, F)$ , by Proposition 1.3.17,  $QPu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, E)$  and  $Su \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, E)$ . Thus by  $\text{Id} = QP + S$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, E)$ .

(3) If  $m > 0$ ,  $P - \lambda \text{Id} \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$ . By (2), since  $(P - \lambda \text{Id})u = 0$  is smooth,  $u$  is smooth.

The proof of Theorem 1.4.6 is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.7** (Fundamental elliptic estimate). *Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$  be a elliptic operator. For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathbf{H}_0^{s+m}(K, E)$ , we have*

$$\|u\|_{s+m} \leq C(\|Pu\|_s + \|u\|_s). \quad (1.4.21)$$

*Thus the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{s+m}$  and  $\|P\cdot\|_s + \|\cdot\|_s$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.* From Theorem 1.4.5, there exists  $Q \in \Psi\text{DO}_{-m}(F, E)$ , such that  $\text{Id} = QP + S$ , where  $S$  is a smoothing operator. So

$$\|u\|_{s+m} \leq \|QPu\|_{s+m} + \|Su\|_{s+m} \leq C\|Pu\|_s + C\|u\|_s. \quad (1.4.22)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4.7 is completed.  $\square$

Obviously, Theorems 1.4.6 and 1.4.7 hold for  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ . We state it here.

**Theorem 1.4.8.** *Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m$  be a elliptic operator.*

- (1) *Let  $u \in L^2$ . If  $Pu \in \mathbf{H}^s$ , then  $u \in \mathbf{H}^{s+m}$ .*
- (2) *For any open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , if  $Pu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}^p)$ , then  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U, \mathbb{C}^p)$ .*
- (3) *If  $Pu = \lambda u$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $m > 0$ , then  $u$  is smooth.*
- (4) *For any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $C, C' > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathbf{H}^{s+m}$ , we have*

$$\|u\|_{s+m} \leq C(\|Pu\|_s + \|u\|_s) \leq C'\|u\|_{s+m}. \quad (1.4.23)$$

*Thus the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{s+m}$  and  $\|P\cdot\|_s + \|\cdot\|_s$  are equivalent.*

**Corollary 1.4.9** (Inner gradient estimate). *Let  $P$  be an elliptic differential operator of order  $m > 0$  defined on an open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for any compact subset  $K \subset \Omega$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $C_{K,k} > 0$  such that for any solution  $u$  of the equation  $Pu = 0$ , we have*

$$\|u\|_{K, \mathcal{C}^k} \leq C_{K,k}\|u\|_{\Omega, \mathcal{C}^0}, \quad \|u\|_{K, \mathcal{C}^k} \leq C_{K,k}\|u\|_{\Omega, L^2}. \quad (1.4.24)$$

*Proof.* Choose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\Omega)$  such that  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $K$ . Then

$$P(\varphi u) = \varphi Pu + P'u = P'u, \quad (1.4.25)$$

where  $P'$  is a differential operator of order  $m - 1$ . By Theorem 1.4.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{K,s} &\leq \|\varphi u\|_{\Omega,s} \leq C(\|P(\varphi u)\|_{\Omega,s-m} + \|\varphi u\|_{\Omega,s-m}) \\ &= C(\|P'u\|_{\Omega,s-m} + \|\varphi u\|_{\Omega,s-m}) \leq C'\|u\|_{\Omega,s-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.26)$$

Take a sequence  $K \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset \cdots \subset\subset \Omega_N = \Omega$ . Using (1.4.26) repeatedly, by Sobolev embedding theorem, we get the inner gradient estimate (1.4.24).

The proof of Corollary 1.4.9 is completed.  $\square$

### 1.4.2 Laplacian

In this subsection, we introduce the most important elliptic operator: Laplacian.

For vector fields  $X, Y$  on manifold  $M$ , we define the vector field  $[X, Y]$  by

$$[X, Y]f = X(Yf) - Y(Xf), \quad (1.4.27)$$

for any  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ . Assume that  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric  $g^{TM}$ . Then there is a canonical connection  $\nabla^{TM} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, TM) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M \otimes TM)$ , called the **Levi-Civita connection** defined by

$$2(\nabla_X Y, Z) = ([X, Y], Z) - ([Y, Z], X) + ([Z, X], Y) + X(Y, Z) + Y(Z, X) - Z(X, Y), \quad (1.4.28)$$

where  $X, Y, Z$  are vector fields and  $(\cdot, \cdot) = g^{TM}(\cdot, \cdot)$ . It is the unique connection which preserves the Riemannian metric:

$$d(X, Y) = (\nabla X, Y) + (X, \nabla Y) \quad (1.4.29)$$

for vector fields  $X, Y$ , and which is torsion free:

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]. \quad (1.4.30)$$

On  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the Laplace operator is defined by

$$\Delta = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}. \quad (1.4.31)$$

Let  $E$  be a vector bundle over a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , with connection  $\nabla^E$ . Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(T_x M, g^{TM})$ , i.e., locally  $e_i$  is a vector field and at  $x \in M$ ,  $(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Then naively we want to define the Laplace operator on vector bundles by

$$\Delta^E = -\nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E. \quad (1.4.32)$$

As usual, we need to check that the operator is independent of the basis chosen. Let  $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $(T_x M, g^{TM})$  such that  $e'_i = h_{ij}e_j$ , where  $(h_{ij})$  is an orthogonal matrix. Then using this basis,

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla_{e'_i}^E \nabla_{e'_i}^E &= -h_{ik} \nabla_{e_k}^E (h_{ij} \nabla_{e_j}^E) = -h_{ik} h_{ij} \nabla_{e_k}^E \nabla_{e_j}^E - h_{ik} \nabla_{e_k}^E (h_{ij})_{e_j} \\ &= -\delta_{jk} \nabla_{e_k}^E \nabla_{e_j}^E - h_{ik} \nabla_{e_k}^E (h_{ij} e_j) - h_{ij} \nabla_{e_k}^E e_j \\ &= -\nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E - \nabla_{e'_i}^E \nabla_{e'_i}^E + \nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.33)$$

where  $\nabla$  is any connection on  $TM$ . Thus

$$-\nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^E e_i}^E \quad (1.4.34)$$

does not depend on the choice of the basis. For the convenience and the uniqueness, we take  $\nabla = \nabla^{TM}$ , the Levi-Civita connection.

**Definition 1.4.10.** The Laplacian  $\Delta^E$  on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$  is the second order differential operator

$$\Delta^E = -\nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} e_i}^E. \quad (1.4.35)$$

Locally, the second order term of the Laplacian is just (1.4.31). So the principal symbol

$$\sigma_\xi(\Delta^E) = |\xi|^2 \cdot \text{Id}_E. \quad (1.4.36)$$

By Definition 1.4.1,  $\Delta^E$  is a elliptic operator.

**Proposition 1.4.11.** For  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, E)$ , we have

$$\int_M (\Delta^E u_1, u_2) dv = \int_M (\nabla_{e_i}^E u_1, \nabla_{e_i}^E u_2) dv = \int_M (u_1, \Delta^E u_2) dv. \quad (1.4.37)$$

*Proof.* Take  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, T^*M)$  such that

$$\alpha(X) = (\nabla_X^E u_1, u_2), \quad (1.4.38)$$

for vector field  $X$ . Then

$$e_i(\alpha(e_i)) = (\nabla_{e_i}^E u_1, \nabla_{e_i}^E u_2) - (\Delta u_1, u_2) + \alpha(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} e_i). \quad (1.4.39)$$

Note that  $\alpha(e_i)e_i$  is a vector field which is independent of the choice of the basis. Then

$$\beta = i_{\alpha(e_i)e_i} dv = \sum_i (-1)^i \alpha(e_i) e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n. \quad (1.4.40)$$

is a global defined differential form. Since  $e^i \wedge \nabla_{e_i}^{T^*M}$  satisfies three conditions in Proposition 1.1.11 by replacing  $d$  to  $e^i \wedge \nabla_{e_i}^{T^*M}$ , we have an important formula

$$d = e^i \wedge \nabla_{e_i}^{T^*M}. \quad (1.4.41)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
d\beta &= e_i(\alpha(e_i))e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n + \sum_{i,k} (-1)^i \alpha(e_i) e^k \wedge \nabla_{e_k}^{T^*M} (e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n) \\
&= e_i(\alpha(e_i))e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n + \sum_i \alpha(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{T^*M} (e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,k} \alpha(e_i) (\nabla_{e_k}^{T^*M} e^k, e_i) e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n. \quad (1.4.42)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\langle \nabla_{e_i} e^k, e^k \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \nabla_{e_i} e^k, e^k \rangle + \langle e^k, \nabla_{e_i} e^k \rangle) = e_i(\langle e^k, e^k \rangle) = e_i(1) = 0. \quad (1.4.43)$$

Thus

$$\nabla_{e_i}^{T^*M} (e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n) = \sum_k \langle \nabla_{e_i} e^k, e^k \rangle e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n = 0. \quad (1.4.44)$$

Since

$$(\nabla_{e_k}^{T^*M} e^k, e_i) = -\langle e_k, \nabla_{e_k}^{TM} e_i \rangle = \langle \nabla_{e_k}^{TM} e^k, e_i \rangle, \quad (1.4.45)$$

from (1.4.42)-(1.4.44), we have

$$d\beta = (e_i(\alpha(e_i)) - \alpha(\nabla_{e_i}^{TM} e_i)) e^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^n. \quad (1.4.46)$$

From (1.4.39) and (1.4.46), we have

$$(\nabla_{e_i}^E u_1, \nabla_{e_i}^E u_2) dv - (\Delta u_1, u_2) dv = d\beta. \quad (1.4.47)$$

Therefore, our proposition follows from (1.4.47) and the Stokes formula.

The proof of Proposition 1.4.11 is completed.  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.12.** The **generalized Laplacian**  $H$  associated with  $\nabla^E$  is of the form

$$H = \Delta^E + Q, \quad (1.4.48)$$

where  $Q$  is a Hermitian section of  $\text{End}(E) = E^* \otimes E$  on  $M$  with lower bound, i.e.,  $Q^*(x) = Q(x)$  for  $x \in M$ , and there exists  $C > 0$ , such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(M, E)$ ,

$$(Qu, u)_{L^2} \geq -C\|u\|^2. \quad (1.4.49)$$

From Proposition 1.4.11, we have

$$\int_M (Hu_1, u_2)dv = \int_M (u_1, Hu_2)dv. \quad (1.4.50)$$

**Theorem 1.4.13** (Gårding's inequality). *Let  $K \subset M$  be a compact subset. There exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(K, E)$ ,*

$$\|u\|_1^2 \leq C((Hu, u)_{L^2} + \|u\|_0^2). \quad (1.4.51)$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 1.4.11 (1.4.48) and (1.4.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Hu, u)_{L^2} &= (\Delta u, u)_{L^2} + (Qu, u)_{L^2} = \|u\|_1^2 + (Qu, u)_{L^2} - \|u\|_0^2 \\ &\geq \|u\|_1^2 - (C + 1)\|u\|_0^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4.52)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.4.13 is completed.  $\square$

### 1.4.3 Fredholm operator

Let  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear map between Hilbert spaces. The kernel of  $T$  is

$$\text{Ker}(T) := \{v \in H_1 : Tv = 0\}. \quad (1.4.53)$$

The range of  $T$  is

$$\text{Im}(T) := \{Tv \in H_2 : v \in H_1\}. \quad (1.4.54)$$

The cokernel of  $T$  is the quotient space

$$\text{Coker}(T) := H_2 / \overline{\text{Im}(T)}. \quad (1.4.55)$$

**Definition 1.4.14.** We say a bounded linear map  $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is a **Fredholm operator** if its kernel and cokernel are finite dimensional and its range is closed. The index of the Fredholm operator is defined by

$$\text{ind}(T) := \dim \text{Ker } T - \dim \text{Coker } T. \quad (1.4.56)$$

**Lemma 1.4.15.** *Let  $P : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $Q : H_2 \rightarrow H_1$  be bounded linear maps such that  $QP = 1 - S_1$  and  $PQ = 1 - S_2$ , where  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are compact operators. Then  $P$  and  $Q$  are Fredholm operators.*

*Proof.* If  $\text{Ker}(P)$  is infinite dimensional, we can choose an orthonormal basis  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  of  $\text{Ker } P$ . Since  $S_1|_{\text{Ker } P} = \text{Id}$ , we can not find a convergent subsequence of  $\{S_1(v_i) = v_i\}$ . It is a contradiction with the fact that  $S_1$  is a compact operator. Thus  $\text{ker } P$  is finite dimensional.

Let  $V$  be the orthonormal complement of  $\overline{\text{Im } P}$  in  $H_2$ . Then  $V \simeq \text{Coker}(P)$ . Let  $P^*$  be the adjoint of  $P$ . If  $v \in \text{Ker } P^*$ , then for any  $u \in H_1$ ,

$$0 = \langle P^*v, u \rangle = \langle v, Pu \rangle. \quad (1.4.57)$$

Thus  $v \in V$ . If  $v \in V$ , by (1.4.57)  $v \in \text{Ker } P^*$ . So we have

$$\text{Ker } P^* \simeq \text{Coker } P. \quad (1.4.58)$$

Since  $S_2$  is compact,  $S_2^*$  is compact<sup>3</sup>. Since  $Q^*P^* = \text{Id} - S_2^*$ , we have  $\dim(\text{Coker } P) = \dim(\text{Ker}(P^*)) < +\infty$ .

At last, we prove that  $\text{Im } P$  is closed. Let  $v_k = Pu_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence such that  $v_k \rightarrow v$  in  $H_2$ . We need to prove that  $v = Pu$  for some  $u \in H_1$ . We may assume that  $u_k \in (\text{Ker } P)^\perp$ .

We claim that  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded. Otherwise, by passing to a subsequence, we can assume that  $\|u_k\| \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $P(u_k/\|u_k\|) = v_k/\|u_k\| \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $S_1$  is compact, by passing to a subsequence,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (u_k/\|u_k\|) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_1(u_k/\|u_k\|) = w$ . Note that  $\|w\| = 1$ . However, by continuity,  $Pw = 0$ . Since  $P|_{(\text{Ker } P)^\perp}$  is injective,  $w = 0$ . It is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded.

Since  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded, by passing to a subsequence,  $S_1u_k \rightarrow u_\infty$ . Since  $Qv_k \rightarrow Qv$  and  $Qv_k = QPu_k = u_k - S_1u_k$ , we have  $PQv = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (Pu_k - PS_1u_k) = v - Pu_\infty$ . Thus  $v = P(u_\infty + Qv) \in \text{Im } P$ . Therefore  $\text{Im } P$  is closed and  $P$  is Fredholm.

By symmetry,  $Q$  is also Fredholm.

The proof of Lemma 1.4.15 is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.4.16.** *Assume that  $M$  is compact. Let  $P \in \Psi\text{DO}_m(E, F)$  be an elliptic operator. Then for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P_s : \mathbf{H}^s(E) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{s-m}(F)$  is Fredholm and  $\text{ind}(P_s)$  is independent of  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* By Rellich Theorem, the smoothing operator is compact. Thus by Lemma 1.4.15,  $P_s$  is Fredholm.

By Theorem 1.4.6 (3),  $\text{Ker } P$  consists of smooth sections. Thus its dimension is independent of  $s$ . The same is for  $\dim \text{Coker } P = \dim \text{Ker } P^*$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.4.16 is completed.  $\square$

Since  $\text{ind}(P_s)$  is independent of  $s$ , we denote it by  $\text{ind}(P)$ .

Remark that the famous Atiyah-Singer index theorem explains  $\text{ind}(P)$  as a topological formula.

<sup>3</sup>"Functional Analysis" by Zhang gongqing, Theorem 4.1.3.

